## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Tayvion Posey,  Plaintiff(s),  vs.	2:23-cv-01936-GMN-MDC ORDER
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department,	
Defendant(s).	

This matter has been referred for a settlement conference. To help facilitate the scheduling of the settlement conference, a court conference is set for **March 3, 2025, at 9:00am** to discuss scheduling and other related matters.

The scheduling conference will be held via video conference. The parties/counsel must email Courtroom Administrator, Tawnee Renfro at <a href="mailto:Tawnee\_Renfro@nvd.uscourts.gov">Tawnee\_Renfro@nvd.uscourts.gov</a>, with an email address to be used for the video conference hearing by **noon**, **April 28**, **2025**.

14 | //

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15 || .

16 ||

17 || /

18 | | /

19 ||

20 | //

21 || .

22 || /

23 || /

24 || /

25

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE VIDEO CONFERENCE:

Instructions to the scheduled hearings will be sent via email thirty (30) minutes prior to the hearing to the participants email provided to the Court.

- Log on to the call ten (10) minutes prior to the hearing time.
- Mute your sound prior to entering the hearing.
- Do not talk over one another.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- State your name prior to speaking for the record.
- Do not have others in the video screen or moving in the background.
- No recording of the hearing.
- No forwarding of any video conference invitations.
- Unauthorized users on the video conference will be removed.

DATED this 21st day of February 2025.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Hon. Maximiliano D. Couvillier III United States Magistrate Judge

## **NOTICE**

Pursuant to Local Rules IB 3-1 and IB 3-2, a party may object to orders and reports and recommendations issued by the magistrate judge. Objections must be in writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court within fourteen days. LR IB 3-1, 3-2. The Supreme Court has held that the courts of appeal may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file objections within the specified time. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 142 (1985).

This circuit has also held that (1) failure to file objections within the specified time and (2) failure to properly address and brief the objectionable issues waives the right to appeal the District

Court's order and/or appeal factual issues from the order of the District Court. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Pursuant to LR IA 3-1, the plaintiff must immediately file written notification with the court of any change of address. The notification must include proof of service upon each opposing party's attorney, or upon the opposing party if the party is unrepresented by counsel. Failure to comply with this rule may result in dismissal of the action.